Zoning Docket from June 16th, 2025 Public Hearing

Docket Number	Applicant	СС	STAFF	GCPC	P&D	COUNCIL
		DIST.	REC.	REC.	REC.	ACTION
CZ-2025-038	Lewis Paul Young 280 Rock Rd., Greer, SC 29651 0538080102500 R-S, Residential Suburban District & PD, Planned Development District to AG, Agricultural Preservation District	21	Denial	Denial 6/25/25	Denial 7/14/25	
Public	Some of the general comments made by Speakers at the Public Hearing on June					Petition/Letter
Public Comments	Some of the general comments in 16, 2025 were: Speakers For: 1. Applicant Family is from Soul Took a course three Owned this land for Is wishing to put the Would like to raise things to sell to gree Would like the accent of the Would like to reach farm Will not have dair Speakers Against: 1. Citizen Lives in the Pelham Serves on the HOM The property is long floodplain Concerned with head The property has on adjacent parced on adjacent parced the property violated Department's Best 2. Citizen	outh Carolinough Clenor over 40 his prope to the etwo edilineer to AG y or anim and the cated alonow this probeen cleanels are the G t Manage of the etwo editions and the etwo editions are the e	na in the Upse mson to beco D years crty in Agricul ble crops (to es like camell be used as a f , Agricultural als of any kind bdivision or Pelham Fa ing the banks roperty will be ared and now eave been rem reenville Coupement Praction	etate area me a Master tural under Frances and zero amily farm Preservation and the control of the Rocky of t	Gardener Real Property ucchini) and In to have the Creek in the coding issues be grading on evelopment	Petition/Letter For: Against: Email(s) – 1 Letter(s) – 1 Signatures – 254
	 Lives in the Chatsworth subdivision and serves as the HOA President Moved to the area due to the trees along the Rocky Creek Rezoning the property would be a detriment to the adjacent subdivisions 					
	 3. Citizen Lives in the Pelham Falls subdivision directly adjacent to the subject property Has concerns of the actual intent of the development of the property Concerned with how development of the property might damage 					

Zoning Docket from June 16th, 2025 Public Hearing

the existing floodplain

Concerned with how the development will affect the property values of the surrounding properties

4. Citizen

- Lives in the Chatsworth subdivision
- Believes the land is not suitable for agricultural activity
- Majority of the property lies within the floodplain
- The property owner proposed to develop 5 homes on the property which was denied
- Stated that the property owner has stated that he intends to build a house and barn on the property as well
- Concerned with the property being exempt from stormwater management review if it is rezoned and assessed as agricultural

List of meetings with staff: N/A

Staff Report

Below are the facts pertaining to this docket:

- The subject property consists of approximately 5.241 acres.
- The subject property is part of the <u>Plan Greenville County</u> Comprehensive Plan, where it is designated as <u>Suburban Neighborhood & Floodplain</u>. The subject property is not part of any area or community plans.
- Rock Road is a two-lane County-maintained residential road. The parcel has approximately 64
 feet of frontage along Rock Road. The parcel is approximately .61 miles southeast of the
 intersection of Batesville Road and Pelham Falls Drive. The property is not along a bus route
 and there are no sidewalks in the area.
- Floodplain is present on the parcel and any development must follow the Greenville County Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance. There are no known historic or cultural resources on the site. There are no schools located within one mile of the site.
- The applicant is requesting to rezone the property to AG, Agricultural Preservation District. The applicant is proposing single-family residential & agricultural.

CONCLUSION and RECOMMENDATION:

The subject parcel, zoned R-S, Residential Suburban District and PD, Planned Development District is located along Rock Road, a two-lane County-maintained residential road. Staff is of the opinion that a successful rezoning to AG, Agricultural Preservation District would not be consistent with the <u>Plan Greenville County</u> Comprehensive Plan designation of *Suburban Neighborhood* nor would it meet the intent of the AG, Agricultural Preservation District which was created to preserve prime farmland and forestry areas. Additionally, the proposed land use of single-family residential and agricultural crops or trees are permitted in the current R-S, Residential Suburban Zoning District.

Based on these reasons, Staff recommends denial of the requested rezoning to AG, Agricultural Preservation District.