00(

きょ

0-

I find from the testimony that the defendants are owners of certain lots neighboring that of the plaintiffs with both plaintiffs and defendants deriving their ownership from the same predecessor in interest, D. B. Tripp.

It further appears from the testimony, as well as the deed introduced into evidence as plaintiff's Exhibit "A" that both the property of the plaintiffs and the defendants are restricted to residential purposes only and the following uniform building conditions and restrictions were included in plaintiff's deeds and in the original deeds to all purchasers of lots in this tract.

This conveyance is subject to the following restrictions:

- (1) The property shall be used for residential purposes only.
- (2) No building shall be erected on the premises costing less than \$3,000.00.
- (3) No building shall be erected near the sidewalk than 30 feet.

plaintiffs, who has resided upon property in this area since
1946, I find the real property embraced within the limits of this
tract was on the outskirts on the City of Greenville, County
of Greenville, State of South Carolina, and the property
surrounding the tract was used primarily for agricultural
purposes. It further appears that since that date, and particularly
within the last ten years, owing to the general growth of the
City of Greenville, there has been a change in the uses to
which the property in the neighborhood of the plaintiffs'
property hereinabove described is being put so that the
property is no longer suitable or desirable for residential
purposes and is unsuitable for uses to which it is now restricted.
I further find that said property has been zoned Classification
S-1 as of April, 1972, and that thereby the Planning and

# 3