

DANIEL R. MCLEOD

JULIAN L. JOHNSON
J. C. COLEMAN, JR.
EVERETT N. BRANDON
VICTOR S. EVANS
C. T. GOOLSBY, JR.
EOWARD B. LATIMER
BENT, DEBERRY
ROBERD G. HACOM
ROBERD G. HACOM
CARL R. REASONOVER
IRVIN D. PARKER
EMMET H. CLAIR
R. EVAN PALMER

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL COLUMBIA, S. C. 29211

P. O. Box 125 January 3, 1968 GSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERA

JOE L. ALLEN G. LEWIS ARGOE, JR. B. G. TAX COMMISSION

MRS, SADYE B. DAVIS SECRETARY - LAW CLERK

E. P. Riley, Esquire Greenville County Attorney Post Office Box 10355 Greenville, South Carolina

Dear Mr. Riley:

Reference is made to your letter of January 2, 1968, concerning the documentary tax imposed by Federal statute, 26 U.S. C.A. 4361, and the County documentary tax imposed by Act No. 380, Acts of 1967, 55 Statutes at Large, 551.

The register of mesn inveyances called me yesterday and inquired as to whether a deed executed prior to January 1, 1968, was taxable under the Federal statute. I contacted the Internal Revenue Service in Columbia and talked with Mr. Nations, who advised that since the deed was executed prior to January 1, 1968, the same was subject to taxation. Mr. Nations was requested to confirm this in writing to Mrs. Farnsworth and I conveyed the information to her by telephone.

The tax is imposed upon:

"* * * each deed, instrument or writing by which any lands, tenements or other realty sold shall be granted, assigned, transferred or otherwise conveyed to or vested in the purchaser or purchasers * * *." 26 U.S.C.A. 4361.

The tax, therefore, is upon the instrument and not upon the recordation, and because the deed was executed prior to January I, 1968, the same, in the opinion of this office, would be subject to the Federal tax.