| Docket Number | Applicant  | CC<br>DIST.  | STAFF<br>REC.  | GCPC<br>REC.   | P&D<br>REC.  | COUNCIL<br>ACTION                  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
| CZ-2022-096   | Jeffrey B. Randolph with The Randolph Group for Crystal Ball O'Connor, James O'Connor and Joe W Ball Garrison Rd., Reedy Fork Rd. and Mamie Black Rd., Piedmont, SC 29673 0595010101200, 0595010101206, and 0595010101203 R-R1, Rural Residential District to PD, Planned Development                        | 26 &<br>25   | Approval with Condition 10/2022  Approval with Condition 1/2023  | Denial<br>10/26/22<br>Approval<br>with<br>Condition<br>1/25/23   | Hold<br>10/31/22<br>Sent back to<br>Planning<br>Commission<br>With Public<br>Comment<br>1/25/23<br>Denial<br>2-6-23  |                                    |
| Public        |  |  |  |  |  | Petition/Letter                    |
| Comments      | January 25, 2023 were: Speakers For:   |  |  |  |  | For:<br>Petition – 828             |
|               | 1) Applicant  Explained revision and 26,000 sq. ft  Explained uses the tobacco sales  Mentioned infra  2) Citizen  Previously spoke developer  Feels like the revethe community's  3) Citizen  Requested build  Small business of Excited about small citizen  Speakers Against:  1) Citizen  Showed a video | that would structure against visions are feedbaring a corumner with all busing all busin | mercial space d not be perme updates inclution this project are a compromick mmunity rather the students at ness opportunity | itted such as uding roads and has since mose that took in the second with the second s | alcohol and alcoho | Against: Petition – 125 Letter - 1 |
|               | into a lake  Showed his water new development  Would like to ke  2) Citizen  Stated he feels liter are still floton Infrastructure in  3) Citizen  | nt in the<br>ep the co<br>ke the p<br>nged sin<br>oding ar<br>the area   | area community rura rocess is in fav ce the case wa nd erosion con- a has been neg   | or of the app<br>is previously h<br>cerns<br>lected  | licant<br>neard and  |                                    |
|               | <ul> <li>Stated he would<br/>neighborhood if</li> </ul>  | -  |  | ezoning in his   | S  |                                    |

- 4) Citizen
  - Nothing has changed since previous vote

# Some of the general comments made by Speakers at the Public Hearing October 17, 2022 were:

#### Speakers For:

- 1) Applicant
  - Developed in the same vicinity of the proposed area
  - The development will have both commercial and residential both attached and detached
  - 43,000 sq. ft. of commercial development and 105 total residential units
  - Commercial will be at the intersection of Garrison Rd. and Reedy Fork Rd.
  - Will use both sewer and septic systems for the entire development
  - The Traffic Study has been submitted
  - The proposed plans conforms to the Future Land Uses from the Comprehensive Plan
- 2) Property Owner
  - Participated in the previous Comprehensive Plan and agreed that this intersection is a commercial node and should be developed as such
  - Pleased with the layout of the proposed development
  - Has visited other development done by the applicant to get a better understanding of their type of development
- 3) Property Owner
  - Raised in Greenville County
  - Has a background in real estate appraising
  - Parents bought the property in question in 1990
  - Discussed how the proposed development meets the intent of the Comprehensive Plan

### **Speakers Against:**

- 5) Citizen
  - Owns land across from the subject property
  - Not against residential development, but against commercial development
  - Putting commercial development next to Woodmont High School would be a safety concern for students
- 6) Citizen
  - Without a Greenville County sewer system installed, a private system will cause a nuisance to surrounding property owners
  - There are families that lived in this area for generations that may be impacted by the proposed development
  - The roads in the area cannot handle the proposed development and there are safety concerns with the increase in traffic
  - Approving the rezoning request would put lives at risk
- 7) Citizen

- Owns property that is adjacent to subject property
- Recently moved to the area and liked the area chosen due to the rural nature and not surrounded by high commercial traffic
- The proposed rezoning will change the South Greenville area

# 8) Citizen

- Lives near the subject parcel
- Family has been in this area for over 100 years
- The only people that benefit from the proposed development are the property owner and not the surrounding property owners
- Not against growth, but not in favor of the proposed plan
- Would like to have community meetings

#### 9) Citizen

- Recently moved to the area specifically to farm in a rural area
- Very disappointed in the proposed density and commercial development
- The area is completely surrounded by rural area (other than the school) with mostly larger lots

#### 10) Citizen

- Was part of the initial zoning
- The proposed development is not suitable for the proposed area
- Please be consistent with past rezoning requests that were denied

\*\*There were approximately 5 people in attendance in favor and approximately 55 in opposition in attendance.\*\*

# List of meetings with staff: None

#### **Staff Report**

#### Below are the facts pertaining to this docket:

- The subject parcel is 53.15 acres.
- The subject property is part of the <u>Plan Greenville County</u> Comprehensive Plan, where it is designated as *Suburban Mixed Use and Rural Living*.
- Garrison Road is a two to three-lane State-maintained collector road. The parcels have approximately 2,561 feet of frontage along Garrison Road. Reedy Fork Road is a two to three-lane State-maintained collector road. The parcels have approximately 1,915 feet of frontage along Reedy Fork Road. Mamie Black Road is a two-lane County-maintained residential road. The parcels have approximately 230 feet of frontage along Mamie Black Road. The parcels are adjacent to the intersection of Garrison Road and Reedy Fork Road. The property is not along a bus route. There are no sidewalks in the area.
- Floodplain is not present on the site. There are no known historic or cultural resources on the site. Woodmont High School is located within a mile of the site.
- The applicant is proposing a maximum of 73 residential units and a maximum of 26,000 sq. ft. of commercial space on a site consisting of three parcels.

#### PROJECT INFORMATION - REVISED JANUARY 2023

The applicant is proposing a maximum of 73 residential units and a maximum of 26,000 sq. ft. of commercial space on a site consisting of three parcels and 53.15 acres. The residential uses will include 73 single-family detached homes. The commercial uses will be located at the intersection of Garrison Road and Reedy Fork Road and will include community retail, office, and medical uses.

#### **Proposed Land Uses:**

The intended uses for the site are single-family detached and commercial uses consistent with the C-1, Commercial zoning classification.

#### **Architectural Design:**

For the single-family attached residential, exterior materials may include Hardi Board and brick and/or stone materials. For the single-family detached residential, Hardi Board will be the dominant exterior material and brick, stone, and metal will also be included. For commercial buildings, durable and natural materials that blend with surrounding architecture will be used and stone and masonry materials will be encouraged.

#### **Access and Parking:**

The site will be accessed primarily from Garrison Road and Reedy Fork Road, with one residential lot being accessed from Mamie Black Road. Parking will be integrated within the commercial district and angled and parallel parking may be allowed. A total of 175 parking spaces, or one space per 150 square feet of commercial space, is proposed. Parking lots will be landscaped according to Greenville County standards. Additionally, two parking spaces per residential unit will be included.

#### **Landscaping and Buffering:**

An exterior setback of 25 feet is included. A wooded exterior buffer of 20 feet is also proposed. Commercial uses will also be buffered from residential uses meeting Section 10.3 of the Land Development Regulations.

#### Signage and Lighting:

Signage requirements will be defined in the submitted Brand Identity Manual, with monument signs at primary entrances to the community as labeled on the preliminary development plan. Lighting will meet IESNA "full cut-off" standards. Shoebox Pedestrian LED lights are proposed in parking areas and Mini Bell LED lights are proposed along roadways. Both are proposed to have a 12 foot mounting height.

#### **CONCLUSION and RECOMMENDATION:**

The subject parcels, zoned R-R1, Rural Residential are located along Garrison Road, a two to three-lane State-maintained collector road; Reedy Fork Road, a two to three-lane State-maintained collector road; and Mamie Black Road, a two-lane County-maintained residential road. The <u>Plan Greenville County</u> Comprehensive Plan designates the parcels as *Suburban Mixed Use and Rural Living*. Staff is of the opinion that a successful rezoning to PD, Planned Development to allow for 73 single-family detached homes and 26,000 sq. ft. of commercial use is consistent with the Future Land Use Map.

The development would have to meet the following condition:

1. Submit Final Development Plan for review and approval prior to the issuance of any land development or building permits.

|      | development or building permits.  |  |  |
|------|---|--|--|
| GCPC | At the October 25, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting, a motion to approve failed 3-4, thus resulting |  |  |
|      | in a recommendation of Denial, with those in opposition citing concerns over infrastructure.        |  |  |
| P&D  | At the November 14, 2022 Planning & Development Committee meeting, the Committee voted to           |  |  |
|      | send the docket back to the Planning Commission for Public Comment due to the revised plans.        |  |  |
| GCPC | At the January 25, 2023 Planning Commission Meeting, a motion to deny failed 3-4, thus resulting in |  |  |
|      | a recommendation of Approval with Condition.  |  |  |
| P&D  | At the February 6, 2023 P&D Committee meeting, the Committee voted to deny the rezoning request     |  |  |

At the February 6, 2023 P&D Committee meeting, the Committee voted to deny the rezoning request to PD, Planned Development District.